

Title	LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $\alpha$ WITH COMPLEX COEFFICIENTS
Author(s)	FUKUI, Seiichi
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1988), 664: 12-18
Issue Date	1988-07
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/100643">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/100643</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $\alpha$ WITH COMPLEX COEFFICIENTS

Seiichi FUKUI (和歌山大教育・福井誠一)

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education  
Wakayama University

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$ , which are analytic in the unit disk  $U$  and normalized by  $f(0)=0$ ,  $f'(0)=1$ . For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , we define the subclasses of  $A$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad S^*(\alpha) = \{f(z) \in A; \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, z \in U\},$$

$$(1.2) \quad K(\alpha) = \{f(z) \in A; \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \alpha, z \in U\}.$$

These are called the classes of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and of convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , respectively. It is easily seen that these are decreasing functions with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $S^*(\alpha) \supset K(\alpha)$ . Let  $S$  be the class of normalized analytic and univalent functions in  $U$ . Then we know  $K \subset S^* \subset S$ ,  $K=K(0)$ ,  $S^*=S^*(0)$ .

Let us define another class  $P(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha < 1$ .

$$(1.3) \quad P(\alpha) = \{p(z); \text{analytic in } U, p(0)=1, \operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > \alpha, z \in U\}.$$

The class has a well-known property by the subordination principle.

LEMMA 1. If  $p(z) \in P(\alpha)$  for  $z \in U$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \left| p(z) - \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)|z|^2}{1-|z|^2}.$$

It holds the equality of (1.4) by  $p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$ .

If  $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ , then  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) \in P(\alpha)$  and  $p(z)$  is subordinate to  $\frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$ , namely, we can write  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)w(z)}{1-w(z)}$ , where  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  with  $w(0)=0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$ ,  $z \in U$ .

LEMMA 2. [2] If  $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ , then

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| \leq 2(1-\alpha) \sin^{-1}|z|, \quad z \in U.$$

It holds the equality of (1.5) by  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}$ .

We have the following lemma from (1.5) and the fact that  $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$ , then

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \arg f'(z) \right| \leq 2(1-\alpha) \sin^{-1}|z|, \quad z \in U.$$

It holds the equality of (1.6) by

$$(1.7) \quad f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-(1-z)^{2\alpha-1}}{2\alpha-1} & (\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}) \\ -\log(1-z) & (\alpha = \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

The following result has been shown by T.H.MacGregor[1] and completely proved by D.R.Wilken and J.Feng[4].

LEMMA 4. If  $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$ , then  $f(z) \in S^*(\beta(\alpha))$ , where

$$(1.8) \quad \beta(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\alpha - 1}{2(1-2^{1-2\alpha})} & (\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{1}{2 \log 2} & (\alpha = \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases}$$

## 2. THEOREMS AND PROOFS.

Lemma 5 is due to R.K.Stump[3] for which we will give a simple computation.

LEMMA 5. If  $|u-a| \leq d$ ,  $|v-a| \leq d$ , where both  $u$  and  $v$  are complex variables and  $a$  and  $d$  are real constants such that  $a > d > 0$ , moreover, for  $\rho > 0$  and  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$  letting

$$(2.1) \quad W = u \cdot \frac{1}{1+\rho e^{i\theta}} + v \cdot \frac{1}{1+\rho^{-1} e^{-i\theta}}.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} W \geq a - d \cdot \sec\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Proof. The condition  $|u-a| \leq d$  implies  $|uu_0 - au_0| \leq d|u_0|$  for some complex number  $u_0$ . This shows  $\operatorname{Re}(uu_0) \geq \operatorname{Re}(au_0) - d|u_0|$ . At the same time, for a complex number  $v_0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(vv_0) \geq \operatorname{Re}(av_0) - d|v_0|$ .

Now, putting

$$(2.3) \quad u_0 = \frac{1}{1+\rho e^{i\theta}}, \quad v_0 = \frac{1}{1+\rho^{-1}e^{-i\theta}},$$

it holds that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} W &= \operatorname{Re}(uu_0) + \operatorname{Re}(vv_0) \\ &\geq a\{\operatorname{Re}(u_0) + \operatorname{Re}(v_0)\} - d(|u_0| + |v_0|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(u_0) &= \frac{1 + \rho \cos \theta}{1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \theta}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(v_0) = \frac{\rho^2 + \rho \cos \theta}{1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \theta}, \\ \operatorname{Re}(u_0) + \operatorname{Re}(v_0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also, } |u_0| = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \theta)^{1/2}}, \quad |v_0| = \frac{\rho}{(1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \theta)^{1/2}},$$

$$(2.6) \quad |u_0| + |v_0| = \frac{1 + \rho}{(1 + \rho^2 + 2\rho \cos \theta)^{1/2}} \leq \sec\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Therefore, we have  $\operatorname{Re} W \geq a - d \cdot \sec\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 1.** For a complex number  $\lambda$ , let  $f_1(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ ,  $f_2(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$  and

$$(2.7) \quad F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1-\lambda)f_2(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $0 \leq \delta = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$ . Then  $F(z)$  is the starlike function of order  $\mu$  for  $|z| < \min\{\sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}, r_s\}$ , where  $r_s$  is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} - \frac{2(1-\alpha)r}{1 - r^2} \cdot \sec\left\{\frac{\delta}{2} + 2(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}r\right\} = \mu.$$

**Proof.** From (2.7) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{zf_2'(z)}{f_2(z)} \cdot [1 + \{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\}]^{-1} + \frac{zf_1'(z)}{f_1(z)} \cdot [1 + \{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\}^{-1}]^{-1}.$$

Putting in Lemma 5  $u = \frac{zf_2'(z)}{f_2(z)}$ ,  $v = \frac{zf_1'(z)}{f_1(z)}$ ,

$$a = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)|z|^2}{1-|z|^2}, \quad d = \frac{2(1-\alpha)|z|}{1-|z|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho e^{i\theta} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)},$$

it follows from the result of Lemma 5

$$(2.10) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} - \frac{2(1-\alpha)|z|}{1-|z|^2} \cdot \sec\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right),$$

where

$$\gamma = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = \delta + \arg \frac{f_1(z)}{z} - \arg \frac{f_2(z)}{z}.$$

Hence, providing  $|\gamma| \leq \delta + 4(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}|z|$  from Lemma 2,  $z \in U$  and

$$|z| \leq r < \sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{then } 0 \leq |\gamma| < \pi \text{ and } \sec\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) > 0. \quad \text{Now}$$

if we let  $r_s$  be the smallest positive root of (2.8), then

$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}\right\} > \mu$  for  $|z| < \min\{\sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}, r_s\}$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 2.** Under the same notations as in Theorem 1, let  $f_1(z) \in K(\alpha)$ ,  $f_2(z) \in K(\alpha)$ , and  $F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1-\lambda)f_2(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ . Then  $F(z)$  is the convex function of order  $\mu$  for  $|z| < \min\{\sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}, r_c\}$ , where  $r_c$  is the smallest positive root of (2.8).

**Proof.** We have from the definition of  $F(z)$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad 1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = \left\{1 + \frac{zf_1''(z)}{f_1'(z)}\right\} \left[1 + \left\{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right\}^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\ + \left\{1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right\} \left[1 + \left\{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right\}^{-1}\right]^{-1}.$$

Also, it holds from Lemma 5 that

$$(2.12) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)|z|^2}{1-|z|^2} - \frac{2(1-\alpha)|z|}{1-|z|^2} \cdot \sec\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right),$$

where  $\gamma = \arg\left\{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right\} = \delta + \arg f_1'(z) - \arg f_2'(z)$ .

Hence, providing  $|\gamma| \leq \delta + 4(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}|z|$  after using Lemma 3, then

$0 \leq |\gamma| < \pi$  and  $\sec\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) > 0$  for  $|z| < \sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}$ . Therefore,

$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right\} > \mu$  for  $|z| < \min\left\{\sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\alpha)}, r_c\right\}$ , where  $r_c$  is the smallest positive root of (2.8).

Theorem 3 follows by the above methods, therefore we omit the proof.

THEOREM 3. Let  $f_1(z) \in K(\alpha)$ ,  $f_2(z) \in K(\alpha)$  and

$F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1-\lambda)f_2(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ , where  $0 \leq \delta = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$ .

Then  $F(z)$  is the starlike function of order  $\mu$

for  $|z| < \min\left\{\sin \frac{\pi - \delta}{4(1-\beta(\alpha))}, r_s\right\}$ , where  $\beta(\alpha)$  is denoted in Lemma 4,

and  $r_s$  is the smallest positive root of

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)r^2}{1-r^2} - \frac{2(1-\beta(\alpha))r}{1-r^2} \cdot \sec\left\{\frac{\gamma}{2} + 2(1-\beta(\alpha)) \cdot \sin^{-1}r\right\} \\ = \mu.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.H.MacGregor, A subordination for convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , J.London Math.Soc.(2)9, (1975), 530-536.
- [2] B.Pinchuk, On starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , Duke Math.J.35, (1967), 721-734.
- [3] R.K.Stump, Linear combinations of univalent functions with complex coefficients, Can.J.Math., vol.XXIII, No.4, (1971), 712-717.
- [4] D.R.Wilken and J.Feng, A remark on convex and starlike functions, J.London Math.Soc.(2)21, (1980), 287-290.